1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney
3	EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division
4	MONICA FERNANDEZ (CSBN 168216) Assistant United States Attorney
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7065
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR-05-00349-VRW (MEJ)
15	Plaintiff, PROPOSED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
16	v.) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
17	JOHN KAROW, JR.,
18	Defendant.
19	
20	This matter came on the calendar of the Honorable Maria Elena James on June 6, 2005.
21	At that time, the defendant, John Karow, Jr., was arraigned on the June 2, 2005 indictment.
22	The matter was placed on Chief Judge Walker's calendar for June 20, 2005. The parties
23	requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from June 6 through June 20, 2005
24	based upon the need for effective preparation of counsel. The government will be providing
25	discovery to the defense. The defendant's counsel needs time to review this discovery.
26	Therefore, the parties are requesting an exclusion of time. The parties agree that the time from
27	June 6 through June 20, 2005 should be excluded in computing the time within which trial shall
28	commence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).
	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME No. CR-05-00349-VRW (MEJ)

Case 3:05-cr-00349-VRW Document 11 Filed 06/29/05 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time from June 6 through June 20, 2005 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested exclusion would deny the defendant reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested exclusion outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 28, 2006

NANDOR J. VADAS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Approved as to form:

16 /s/ Nanci Clarence

NANCI CLARENCE, ESQ.

17 Attorney for Defendant

19 /s/ Monica Fernandez

MONICA FERNANDEZ

20 Assistant United States Attorney